Attorney Docket: 4191110123 PATENT

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action dated September 11, 2006 claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by an article by Suzuki et al. ("Suzuki"). Claims 4 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of the Examiner's modification.

Claims 1-18, 20-27, and 29-35 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 20, 29, and 30 have been amended and claims 19 and 28 have been canceled. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 20, 29, and 30 have been amended to correct the informalities and to clarify the invention.

The Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention, according to claims 1-3, 5-8, and 10-16 is not anticipated by Suzuki. Suzuki discloses an exchange format for UML models, which allows UML models to be expressed, published, accessed and exchanged. Suzuki discloses the existence of both textual and graphical representations of software. Suzuki discloses conversion of a text file in one format into a text file of another format (*See* Fig. 3). However, Suzuki does not disclose or suggest the conversion of a textual representation into a graphical representation, as is required by the present invention, for example according to claim 6. Likewise, Suzuki does not disclose or suggest the conversion of a graphical representation into a textual representation, as is required by the present invention, for example according to claim 1. Thus, Suzuki does not disclose all of the required elements of the present invention.

Therefore, the present invention, according to claims 1 and 6, and according to claim 11, which is similar to claims 1 and 6, and according to claims 2-3, 5, 7-8, 10, and 12-16 is not anticipated by Suzuki.

The Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention according to claims 4 and 9 is not unpatentable over Suzuki as modified by the Examiner, because even if the disclosure of Suzuki were modified as suggested by the Examiner, the result would not be the present invention, as claimed. Even if Suzuki were modified as suggested by the Examiner, to include XHTML, Suzuki still would not disclose conversion between a graphical representation and a textual representation, as is required by the present invention, for example, according to claims 1 and 6, from which claim 4 and 9 depend, respectively.

Therefore, the present invention, according to claims 4 and 9, is not obvious in view of Suzuki as modified by the Examiner.

Each of the claims now pending in this application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.

Attorney Docket: 4191110123 PATENT

Additional Fees:

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (19111.0123).

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael a. Schwartz

Michael A. Schwartz

Reg. No. 40,161

Dated: March 9, 2007

Bingham McCutchen, LLP 2020 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Telephone: (202) 373.6000

Facsimile: (202) 373.6440